

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,159	(	01/09/2001 Seung-ho Tak		30781-1	2574	
45263	7590	10/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MITCHEL	L P. BRO	OK	DAVIS, ZACHARY A			
C/O LUCE,	<b>FORWAR</b>	D, HAMILTON &	SCRIPPS LLP			
11988 EL C	AMINO R	EAL, SUITE 200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130				2127	<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/700,159	TAK, SEUNG-HO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Zachary A. Davis	2137		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								
Before the Filling of all Appeal Biller	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Zachary A. Davis	2137						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		) and the annionriate exte	ancion foe have					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	ejected claims.						
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:		•						
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	aut hofore or on the data of filing a	Notice of Appeal will a	not be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. ⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	F2.21	MANUEL L. MOISE						
		MANUEL E. MOISE ORY PATENT EXAMIN	EĐ					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Specifically, Applicant argues that Frew does not disclose requesting a new token when an inner token is exhausted, and more specifically, that Frew does not disclose an "inner token" at all. The Examiner notes that the term "inner token" is not explicitly defined in the present application; therefore, it has been interpreted as an amount of credit stored within the meter (as suggested by the term "inner"). The Examiner respectfully disagrees that Frew does not disclose an inner token, noting in particular that the meter can display, among other things, the remaining credit available (see, for example, the Abstract, and also column 6, lines 24-30). It is implicit that if the remaining credit can be displayed, then it must be stored within the meter; therefore, the Examiner believes that there is, in fact, an inner token stored within the meter indicating the remaining credit. This is further suggested by the previously cited portion (column 10, lines 20-25, as noted by Applicant) where it is disclosed that new credit (i.e. new tokens) are requested when a low credit level is reached (i.e. an inner token level is exhausted).

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant has petitioned under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for an extension of time of two months. Such petition having been granted, the period for reply expires five months from the mailing date of the final rejection, as noted above under item 1(a).